

Application of the Green's Function in Attenuation Factor to Solve the Status of Human Health on the Earth

Zhao-Xiong Wang*

Far East University, Philippines, Manila

Jeu-Min Lin

Department of Electrical Engineering,
National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

Abstract: The activity in the high-voltage environment is at risk of being injured by electric shock. The safety of personnel in and around electric power installations has been a prime concern. Specifically, this safety is generally in terms of the allowable touch and step voltages. These voltages are related to the ground resistance of human foot. In order to increase the safety of personnel, a high resistivity surface layer of gravel normally exists in the substation switchyards or other high-voltage areas. The thickness of this layer will affect the calculation of the ground resistance of human foot. Among them, the electromagnetic field and humidity factors are also considered in this paper. As a result, the surface layer of gravel can be used to reduce the earthing resistance of human foot. The equivalent model of human foot was modeled by the circular plate conductor in the past, which considered the beauty of the environment. Most of the transmission lines are in the surface, so the transmission line generated by the discrete current will affect the earthing resistance estimation. Thus, the proposed paper will consider the composition of the Green's function which is the mathematical calculus popularized by the basic theorem of calculus. On the other hand, the damp ingredients and temperatures on the surface will also be considered in this paper. This paper researches into the proposed method for estimating the ground resistance of human foot and proposes an equivalent model for calculating the earthing resistance of human foot. A comparison of the method is also shown in this paper.

Keywords: Green's function, earthing resistance estimation, attenuation factor, step voltage, contact voltage, body earthing resistance, electromagnetic field factors, damp ingredients

Received: 18 October 2017; **Accepted:** 23 December 2017; **Published:** 12 February 2018

I. INTRODUCTION

In the high-voltage environments, such as work in the substations or railway dispatching places, high-voltage electric towers side, or Underground Railroad track sides, the ground surface is usually evenly covered with a layer of 8 to 25cm thickness of gravel, to increase the soil and the contact resistance between the feet (Contact Resistance). Assuming that the equivalent resistance of the shoes and socks is zero, the contact resistance is the equivalent resistance of the gravel layer. Because the contact resistance between the soil layer and the foot is large, when the accident occurs (for example, the hand touches the lightning striking device), the current flowing through the body will be much smaller than the absence of the gravel layer. In other words, the contact

voltage or step voltage suffered by the human body will be smaller. In this way, we can ensure the safety of the site workers.

In the calculation of whether the human body can withstand the contact voltage or step voltage, the foot of the ground resistance is a very important factor. In general, the human foot is often equivalent to a circular plate Conductor with a radius of 8 cm. According to the IEEE standard 80, a circular plate-like conductor having a radius b is placed on a soil having a resistivity ρ_s (assuming a fairly uniform distribution of the resistivity distribution), and the ground resistance R_f thereof can be expressed as follows [1]:

$$R_f = \frac{\rho_s}{4b} \quad (1)$$

*Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Zhao-Xiong Wang, Far East University, Philippines, Manila. E-mail: a0938108997@gmail.com

Where $b = 0.08$ m

$$R_f \approx 3\rho_s \quad (2)$$

Since the size of the gravel layer is large enough, it is possible to assume that the resistivity of the material in the foot is uniform in the horizontal direction. However, compared with the equivalent radius of the foot (0.08 m), the thickness of the gravel layer is not large enough, so the resistivity of the material, to which the foot is exposed, is not uniform in the vertical direction. If the high resistivity gravel is laid on a low resistivity soil, this will cause the ground resistance of the foot to be lower than equation (1). At this time, the ground resistance of the foot can be expressed as follows [2]:

$$R_f = \frac{\rho_s C}{4b} \quad (3)$$

ρ_c = The resistivity of the gravel layer

C = Attenuation factor

The paper should note that if you consider the gravel layer, in the ground resistance, the IEEE standard 80 has derived the earthing resistance of the infinite series expression (Infinite Series Expression). However, in the reference [3], this infinite series is deduced into a finite expression. The ground resistors calculated by the two representations are quite close, but the finite term expressions are more convenient to use. The structure of this paper is as follows: The method of calculating the earthing resistance of the human body foot will be described in Section 2, and then, we will briefly introduce the method mentioned in this paper and the simulation results listed in Section IV. Finally, it is the conclusion of this paper.

II. THE EXISTING CALCULATION OF THE HUMAN BODY FOOT EARTHING RESISTANCE METHOD

According to the IEEE standard 80, the foot is equivalent to a circular plate-shaped conductor with a radius b and placed on a double-layer medium. The first layer is a gravel of resistivity ρ_c , the second layer is a soil with resistivity ρ_s , while the ground resistance R_f of the foot can be expressed as follows [1]:

$$R_f = \frac{\rho_c}{4b} F(x) \quad (4)$$

$$F(x) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K^n}{\sqrt{1 + (2nx)^2}} \quad (5)$$

$$K = \frac{\rho_s - \rho_c}{\rho_s + \rho_c} \quad (6)$$

ρ_s = The resistivity of the gravel layer

ρ_c = Resistivity of soil layer

$X = h/b$

H = Thickness of gravel layer

B = Equivalent radius of the foot (0.08 m)

K = Reflection factor

According to the hemispherical electrode (Hemispherical Electrode) resistance method, and the use of virtual image method (Method of Image) [2], can be derived Equations 4, 5, and 6. In order to simplify the complex mathematical operations, the derivation of the process made some assumptions, but this has caused the equation to produce an error. Especially when h smaller, the error will be greater [4]. As can be seen from the past figures and literatures, the slope of the curve increases as h decreases, but when h is small, the slope of the curve is reduced.

In another method of earthing resistance, the equivalent model of the foot is also a circular plate conductor, but the derivation method is different from the derivation method of the IEEE standard 80 equation.

If the foot is equivalent to a radius of b ($= 0.08$ m) of the circular plate-like conductor, placed in the resistivity ρ_s on the soil (assuming a fairly uniform distribution of resistivity), the earthing resistance can be expressed as follows [1]:

$$R_f = \frac{\rho_s}{4b} \quad (7)$$

If the gravel layer is considered, the ground resistance can be expressed as follows [4, 5, 6]:

$$R_f = \frac{\rho_c}{4b} H(x) \quad (8)$$

$$H(x) = 1 + \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \quad (9)$$

$$P \approx \frac{K^n}{2nx} \left[1 - \frac{7}{12} \frac{1}{(2nx)^2} + \frac{33}{40} \frac{1}{(2nx)^4} \right] \quad (10)$$

$$K = \frac{\rho_s - \rho_c}{\rho_s + \rho_c} \quad (11)$$

When $(2h)/b > 3.5$ or $h > 0.14$ m, the values obtained by Equations 8 and 9 are more accurate. Appendix A can be referred for restrictions on this scope.

Then, the design can be synthesized with ease that transfers the descriptions to gate-level net lists without further effort.

III. THE PROPOSED METHOD

In this paper, Figure 1 is a plate-like conductor placed on a double-layer medium, where the first layer is a gravel of resistivity ρ_c , and the second layer is a soil with resistivity ρ_s . Among them, the gravel layer thickness is h . We can use the virtual image method to get plate-like conductor and its mirror (Images) position distribution. Assuming that the ground current of the plate conductor is I , if the boundary between the air and the gravel layer is the mapping interface, the Current is not the same; if the boundary between the soil layer and the gravel layer is the mapping interface, then the current will be proportional to the power of the reflection factor K , as shown in Figure 2 Where $K = (\rho_s - \rho_c) / (\rho_s + \rho_c)$. The resistivity of all the regions in Figure 3 is ρ_c [7], whether the plate conductor itself or its mirror is discharged to the gravel layer with resistivity ρ_c .




Fig. 1. Schematic representation of a square plate conductor in a gravel layer




Fig. 2. Square plate like mapping architecture

Using the superposition principle (Superposition Theorem), the average potential V_{ave} of the plate-like conductor can be obtained as follows, which also consid-

ers the factors of electromagnetic field in the proposed paper. Figure 3 shows a schematic view of the magnetic field lines displayed through the iron powder on the white paper, which is known that the pure quantity of the electromagnetic field function has an important influencing factor on the creatures.




Fig. 3. The diagram of magnetic field

On the other hand, this paper considers the electromotive force generated by electrostatic charge in space, so that [8]:

$$V_p = 2\pi r \sigma \left[\sinh^{-1} \frac{\beta \ell}{d} + \sinh^{-1} \frac{(1-\beta)\ell}{d} \right] \quad (12)$$

r = The radius of the wire

σ = Surface charge density

$$V_{ave} = 2IR + 4KIR_{m(2h)} + 4K^2IR_{m(4h)} + \dots = I \left[2R + 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K^n R_{m(2nh)} \right] + \rho \quad (13)$$

$$\rho = \nabla \square D \quad (14)$$

$$R_p = \frac{V_{ave}}{I} = 2R + 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K^n R_{m(2nh)} \quad (15)$$

R = plate-like conductor earthing resistance obtained in a uniform medium of resistivity ρ_c

$R_{m(2nh)}$ = Mutual resistance of two parallel plate-like conductors spaced $2nh$ apart in a uniform medium of resistivity ρ_c (Mutual Ground Resistance)

ρ = Volume charge density

- ∇ = Gradient operator
- D = Electric flux density
- \cdot = Dot operator

It was known that power system consists of two units of active power and reactive power, and the amount of power is the area surrounded by the active and reactive work function on the graph. Therefore, this proposed paper has been considered the energy which is generated by the Green's function [9]. This function is a known vector calculus theorem which is shown in Equation 16, to maintain the law of conservation of energy [10].

$$\oint_c Pdx + Qdy = \int \int_R \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial X} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) dA = \int \int_R \left| P \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right| dA \quad (16)$$

Where c is a closed smooth curve on a plane and the area around it, supposing the function $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ are continuous and one partial derivative is continuous, the equation holds as discussed Green's function, and variables P and Q exist in the R field. In other words, the Green's function has to be considered in Equation 13.

On the other hand, if the potential problem of electrostatic charge in the electric field space is considered in this paper, the potential at space satisfies Poisson's function. So Equation 13 must be reconsidered for the composition of $\nabla^2 u = \rho/\epsilon$, which is called by Poisson's equation [11].

Based on the previous studies, it is known that the factors of humidity and temperature of the environment are the great influence elements of the resistance estimation. According to the literatures, the resistance estimation and the relationship between humidity and temperature are exponential functions, as shown below:

$$R = R_0 \exp \alpha (\Phi - \Phi_0) - R_t \exp \beta (t - t_0) \quad (17)$$

- R_0 = Initial resistance
- t_0 = Initial temperature
- α = Humidity constant
- Φ = Wetting coefficient
- Φ_0 = Initial value of the wetting coefficient
- R_t = Starting resistance
- β = Temperature constant

Assuming that the length of the strip conductor is ℓ , the width is a and the thickness is b , the ground resistance R of the strip conductor in the uniform medium of the resistivity ρ_c is as follows [7]:

$$R = \frac{\rho_c}{2\pi\ell} \left[\ln \frac{2\ell}{a} + \frac{a^2 - \pi ab}{2(a+b)^2} \right] \quad (18)$$

When the width a is much larger than the thickness b and the length is equal to the width a , the ribbon conductor can be regarded as a square plate conductor.

$$R \approx 0.5966 \frac{\rho_c}{\pi\ell} \quad (19)$$

$$V_p = 2\pi r \sigma \left[\sinh^{-1} \frac{\beta\ell}{d} + \sinh^{-1} \frac{(1-\beta)\ell}{d} \right] \quad (20)$$

- r = Radius of the wire
- Σ = Surface charge density

As shown in Figure 4, the two metal lines are long and spaced apart from each other. If the surface charge distribution of the metal line AB is uniform, the potential V_p at any point on the wire CD can be expressed as:

$$V_p = 2\pi r \sigma \left[\sinh^{-1} \frac{\beta\ell}{d} + \sinh^{-1} \frac{(1-\beta)\ell}{d} \right] \quad (21)$$

- r = The radius of the wire.
- σ = Surface charge density.




Fig. 4. The geometric position on the two parallel lines
The average potential V_{ave} of the metal wire CD is:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{ave} &= 2\pi r \sigma \int_0^1 \left[\sinh^{-1} \frac{\beta\ell}{d} + \sinh^{-1} \frac{(1-\beta)\ell}{d} \right] d\beta \\ &= 4\pi r \sigma \left(\sinh^{-1} \frac{\ell}{d} \sqrt{1 + \frac{d^2}{\ell^2}} + \frac{d}{\ell} \right) \\ &= \frac{2Q}{\ell} \left(\sinh^{-1} \frac{\ell}{d} \sqrt{1 + \frac{d^2}{\ell^2}} + \frac{d}{\ell} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

- Q = Total charge of metal line AB .

Since the square plate-like conductor can be visually composed of an infinite number of metal wires, the average potential caused by a charged square-shaped conductor on the other square-shaped conductor is also Equation 18. So, the mutual resistance $R_{m(d)}$ can be expressed as [12]:

$$R_{m(d)} = \frac{\rho_c}{4\pi C} = \frac{\rho_c}{4\pi} \frac{V_{ave}}{Q} \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{\rho_c}{2\pi\ell} \left(\sinh^{-1} \frac{\ell}{d} \sqrt{1 + \frac{d^2}{\ell^2}} + \frac{d}{\ell} \right)$$

Where ρ_c = the resistivity of the environment in which the conductor is located. Therefore, the resistance of the two-sided plate-shaped conductor at a distance of $2nh$ is:

$$R_{m(2nh)} = \frac{\rho_c}{2\pi\ell} \left(\sinh^{-1} \frac{\ell}{2nh} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{(2nh)^2}{\ell^2}} + \frac{2nh}{\ell} \right) \quad (24)$$

Equations 15 and 23 are substituted into Equation 13, so that the ground resistance R_f after the equivalent of a square plate-like conductor can be obtained as follows:

$$R_f = 1.1931 \frac{\rho_c}{\pi\ell} S(y) \quad (25)$$

$$S(y) = 1 + 1.6762 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T \quad (26)$$

$$T = K^n \left[\sinh^{-1} \frac{1}{2ny} + 2ny - \sqrt{(2ny)^2 + 1} \right] \quad (27)$$

$$K = \frac{\rho_s - \rho_c}{\rho_s + \rho_c} \quad (28)$$

ρ_c = The resistivity of the gravel layer.

ρ_s = Resistivity of soil layer.

h = Thickness of gravel layer.

ℓ = Side length of square plate conductor.

$y = h / \ell$

K = Reflection factor

By the way in this paper, when $(2h)/\ell > 1$, the values obtained by Equations 23 and 24 are more accurate.

IV. THE SIMULATED TESTS

The coefficient $(\rho_c/4b)$ of Equation 25 is equal to the coefficient of Equation 25 $(1.1931\rho_c/\pi)$, $= 0.1215m$. In this way, when $h > 0.06m$, the value obtained by Equation 22 is more accurate. Therefore, when K is equal to $-0.2, -0.4, -0.6, -0.8$, the relationship between $S(y)$ and h is shown in Figure 5. If $\rho_s = 100 [\omega * m]$, K is equal to $0, -0.1, -0.2, -0.9$, the relationship between R_f and h is shown in Figure 6. We can see from Figure 5 the following described phenomenon. When the thickness of the gravel layer remains constant, the ground resistance

of the foot decreases as the reflection factor increases. When the reflection factor remains constant, the ground resistance of the foot decreases as the thickness of the gravel layer decreases. Table 1 shows the comparison of the respective attenuation factors. To observe these data, we find that $S(y)$ is quite close to $H(x)$ and in any case, $F(x)$ is less than $H(x)$ and $S(y)$. In addition, when $K = 0$, regardless of h for several, the attenuation factor is 1. Since $K = 0$ means that the resistivity of the soil layer is the same as that of the gravel layer. Therefore, regardless of any of the earthing resistance formulae in this paper, the value obtained is equal. On the other hand, we can also find that the effect of $K = 0$ is exactly the same as $h = 0$ and the resistivity of the soil layer is equal to the resistivity of the gravel layer.




Fig. 5. The map of the relationships of $S(y)$, h , and K




Fig. 6. The map of the relationships of R_f and h , K relation

TABLE 1
A COMPARISON TABLE OF ATTENUATION FACTOR

K	$h(cm)$	$F(x)$	$H(x)$	$S(y)$
0	6	1	–	1
0	10	1	–	1
0	14	1	1	1
0	20	1	1	1
0	24	1	1	1
-0.1	6	0.895033	–	0.924715
-0.1	10	0.929441	–	0.952914
-0.1	14	0.947730	0.966839	0.965953
-0.1	20	0.962622	0.976335	0.975926
-0.1	24	0.977524	0.977052	0.975981
-0.3	6	0.714517	–	0.793737
-0.3	10	0.806635	–	0.870532
-0.3	14	0.856418	0.909034	0.906141
-0.3	20	0.897346	0.934816	0.933715
-0.3	24	0.938271	0.954819	0.961718
-0.5	6	0.563943	–	0.682433
-0.5	10	0.702546	–	0.800239
-0.5	14	0.778711	0.859746	0.855117
-0.5	20	0.841525	0.899416	0.897625
-0.5	24	0.917691	0.939418	0.899727
-0.7	6	0.435335	–	0.586038
-0.7	10	0.612433	–	0.738949
-0.7	14	0.711018	0.816825	0.810543
-0.7	20	0.792814	0.868511	0.866124
-0.7	24	0.799813	0.908506	0.868126
-0.9	6	0.323722	–	0.50097
-0.9	10	0.533029	–	0.684634
-0.9	14	0.651131	0.778934	0.770922
-0.9	20	0.749615	0.841116	0.838121
-0.9	24	0.798857	0.872585	0.860529

Marks: $F(x)$ is the attenuation factor of IEEE standard 80.

$H(x)$ is the attenuation factor of the circular plate conductor.

$S(y)$ is the attenuation factor of the square plate conductor.

V. CONCLUSION

Through the discussions of this paper and the related simulation experiments, the following conclusions can be summarized:

(A.) If the surface is covered with a layer of high resistivity gravel, it can increase the contact resistance between the soil and the feet. Because the contact between the soil layer and the foot is very large, so when the accident occurs, the current through the body than the absence of gravel layer is much smaller. Therefore, being covered with gravel can improve the safety of the site staff.

(B.) When the reflection factor remains constant, the

attenuation factor decreases as the thickness of the gravel layer decreases. On the other hand, the contact voltage or step voltage is proportional to the ground resistance. So, when the thickness of the gravel layer is thinner, the human body will suffer the step voltage or contact voltage that will be smaller. See Appendix B for details.

(C.) When the thickness of the gravel layer remains constant, the attenuation factor increases as the reflection factor increases. So, the greater the reflection factor, the step voltage or contact voltage suffered by the human body will be smaller. See Appendix C for details.

(D.) The attenuation factors $S(y)$ and $H(x)$ are quite close to each other; the error is less than 1.2%. There-

fore, the formulas presented in this paper are quite accurate compared to those in the references. And, the use range of $S(y)$ (the thickness of the gravel layer) is larger than that of $H(x)$.

(E.) $(Y)/F(x)$ in any case, the attenuation factor $F(x)$ is less than $S(y)$ and $H(x)$, especially when $K < -0.9$ and $h < 10\text{cm}$. In addition, due to the inaccuracy of the equation in IEEE Standard 80, the ground resistance standard set by it is somewhat conservative compared to other literature and this text.

(F.) With the discussions of the proposed paper, this content can enhance and ensure the personal safety.

(G.) Based on the Kirchhoff's law, if the resistance remains constant, the greater the voltage, the greater the current, making the larger the electromagnetic field. In other words, the phenomenon will affect the health of human being.

(H.) According to the medical literatures, as time changes, high electromagnetic fields can affect the responses of human physiology and psychology.

(I.) According to Coulomb's Law, it is known that the interaction between the two stationary point charges in vacuum is inversely proportional to the square of the distance. In other words, the impact of overhead lines or substations is almost zero.

(J.) Known wire has resistance, inductance, and capacitance. The impedance is the sum of the resistance and reactance in the vector. The resistance can be ignored at low current, and the inductance can be ignored at low frequencies. And, at high current or high frequencies, these characteristics will be affected.

(K.) For the changes of climate and environment in the world, in order to protect the safety of life, Equation 13 and Equation 16 should be considered at the same time in the unusual cases.

REFERENCES

- [1] ANSI IEEE Std, "IEEE guide for safety in ac substation earthing," 1989. [Online]. Available: <https://goo.gl/gFGZzC>
- [2] B. Thapar, V. Gerez, and H. Kejriwal, "Reduction factor for the ground resistance of the foot in substation yards," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 360–368, 1994. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/61.277707>
- [3] B. Thapar, V. Gerez, A. Balakrishnan, and D. Blank, "Finite expression and models for footing resistance in substations," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 219–224, 1992. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/61.108911>
- [4] B. Thapar, V. Gerez, and P. Emmanuel, "Ground resistance of the foot in substation yards," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 1993. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/61.180312>
- [5] H. Shiau and M. Genge, "Step potential and body current near a buried horizontal bare conductor in a two-layer earth," IIT Research Institute, Chicago, IL, Tech. Rep., 1977.
- [6] W. E. Byerly, *Fourier's Series and Spherical, Cylindrical, and Ellipsoidal Harmonics*. New York, NY: Dover Publications, Incorporated, 1893.
- [7] J. Zaborszky, "Efficiency of grounding grids with nonuniform soil," *Electrical Engineering*, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 227–227, 1956. doi: [10.1109/EE.1956.6442491](https://doi.org/10.1109/EE.1956.6442491)
- [8] K. Tanaka, Y. Mizuno, and K. Naito, "Measurement of power frequency electric and magnetic fields near power facilities in several countries," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1508–1513, 2011. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/tpwr.2010.2078836>
- [9] M. R. Teague, "Deterministic phase retrieval: A greens function solution," *Journal Optical Society of America*, vol. 73, no. 11, pp. 1434–1441, 1983. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1364/josa.73.001434>
- [10] G. Anastasi, M. Conti, M. Di Francesco, and A. Passarella, "Energy conservation in wireless sensor networks: A survey," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 537–568, 2009.
- [11] P. G. Huray, *Maxwells Equations*. New York, NY: Willey, IEEE Hardcover, 2009.
- [12] H. Dwight, "Calculation of resistances to ground," *Transactions of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 1319–1328, 1936. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ee.1936.6539232>

APPENDIX A

The equation (23) can be obtained ρ_c by:

$$\rho_c = \frac{1 - K}{1 + K} \rho_s \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Equation (20) can be, therefore, rewritten as:

$$R_f = \frac{1.1931}{\pi l} \frac{1 - K}{1 + K} \rho_s S(y) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

When the reflection factor is constant, the ground resistance of the foot is only proportional to the attenuation factor and is proportional. So, when the thickness of the gravel layer decreases, the attenuation factor decreases, earthing resistance is reduced, so the human body-suffered step voltage or contact voltage will be reduced.

APPENDIX B

A circular plate-like conductor having the same radius at both radii is located on the same axis and the two conductors are parallel to each other but not on the same plane. The average potential of one of the charged conductors on the other conductor is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{ave,p} &= \frac{Q}{s} \left(1 - \frac{7}{12} \frac{a^2}{s^2} + \frac{33}{40} \frac{a^4}{s^4} - \dots \right) \\
 &\Rightarrow \\
 \frac{aV_{ave,p}}{Q} &= \frac{a}{s} \left(1 - \frac{7}{12} \frac{a^2}{s^2} + \frac{33}{40} \frac{a^4}{s^4} - \dots \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Where, a : The radius of a circular plate conductor,

s : The distance between the centers of the two conductors,

Q : The total charge of the charged conductor

Are in the same plane and the other conditions are the same, then the average potential of one of the charged conductors on the other conductor is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{ave,s} &= \frac{Q}{s} \left(1 + \frac{7}{24} \frac{a^2}{s^2} + \frac{99}{320} a^4 s^4 + \dots \right) \\
 &\Rightarrow \\
 \frac{aV_{ave,s}}{Q} &= \frac{a}{s} \left(1 + \frac{7}{24} \frac{a^2}{s^2} + \frac{99}{320} a^4 s^4 + \dots \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

When $s/a > 3.5$, (B.1) and (B.2) in the $s/a > 3.5$ will approach a similar value, so

$$\frac{V_{ave,p}}{Q} = \frac{V_{ave,s}}{Q} \tag{B.3}$$

And

$$R = \frac{\rho}{4\pi} \frac{V}{Q} \tag{B.4}$$

Therefore, $R_p = R_s$. Where R_p = the mutual resistance at different planes, and R_s = the mutual resistance at the same plane [7]. Therefore, when the authors choose $(2h)/b > 3.5$, the proposed paper will improve the accuracy of equations (8) and (9). Similarly, if $(2h)/b > 1$ is chosen, the values obtained from equations (20) and (21) will be more accurate.

APPENDIX C

When the thickness of the gravel layer is constant, the attenuation factor increases as the reflection factor increases. From the equation (A.1), we can see the earthing resistance, the reflection factor, and attenuation factor. However, when the reflection factor is increased, the rate of decrease of $1-K / 1 + K$ is greater than the rate of increase of the attenuation factor, so that the earthing resistance is reduced. For example, when $h = 20\text{cm}$ and $K = -0.9$, then $S(y) = 0.8381$, $1-K / 1 + K = 19$, if K rises to -0.7 , then $S(y) = 0.8661$, $K / 1 + K = 5.6667$. It can be seen, if the reflection factor increases, it will result in reduced earthing resistance, so the step voltage or contact voltage suffered by the human body will be reduced.